arXiv:1605.09217v1 [math.CV] 30 May 2016

ELEMENTARY CONSTRUCTION OF RESIDUE CURRENTS ASSOCIATED TO COHEN-MACAULAY IDEALS

RICHARD LÄRKÄNG AND EMMANUEL MAZZILLI

Abstract. For a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of holomorphic functions, we construct by elementary means residue currents whose annihilator is precisely the given ideal. We give two proofs that the currents have the prescribed annihilator, one using the theory of linkage, and another using an explicit division formula involving these residue currents to express the ideal membership.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ be the ring of germs of holomorphic functions at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. If $f \in \mathcal{O}$, and U is a $(0,0)$-current such that $fU = 1$, then it follows easily by regularity for the $\bar\partial$-operator on $(0,0)$-currents that

$$g\bar\partial U = 0 \text{ if and only if } g \in J(f), \tag{1.1}$$

where $J(f)$ is the principal ideal generated by f. For a current T, we let $\operatorname{ann} T$ denote the annihilator of T, i.e., all holomorphic functions g such that $gT = 0$. Thus, if $fU = 1$, we get that

$$\operatorname{ann} \bar\partial U = J(f).$$

One natural choice of such a current U is the so-called principal value current $[1/f]$, which is defined as

$$\left\langle \left[\frac{1}{f}\right], \phi \right\rangle := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+} \int \frac{\chi(|f|^2/\epsilon)}{f} \wedge \phi,$$

where ϕ is a test-form and χ is the cut-off function which is the characteristic function of the interval $[1,\infty)$, or a smooth regularization of this function. The existence of this current was proven by Dolbeault, [D], and Herrera-Lieberman, [HL]. That this limit exists relies on Hironaka's theorem about resolution of singularities, and is thus far from elementary. Anyhow, any such choice of a current U gives rise to a description of a principal ideal $J(f)$. A construction of such a current by elementary means, which in general is different from the principal value current was done by the second author in [M1].

Consider now a complete intersection ideal J of codimension p, i.e., $J = J(f_1,\dots,f_p)$ can be generated by exactly p holomorphic functions, $f_1,\dots,f_p$. Coleff and Herrera showed in [CH] that one can give a reasonable meaning to $\bar\partial[1/f_p]\wedge\cdots\wedge\bar\partial[1/f_1]$ in a similar way as for the principal value current. Again, for all the different ways of regularizing the current, the existence of the limit relies on Hironaka's theorem. In [LSK] it is described various ways that this product can be defined through some regularization procedure. It was proven independently by Passare, [P] and Dickenstein-Sessa,

Date: May 31, 2016.
The first author was supported by the Swedish Research Council.

[DS], that this so-called Coleff-Herrera product satisfies the duality principle,

$$\operatorname{ann} \bar\partial \left[\frac{1}{f_p}\right] \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar\partial \left[\frac{1}{f_1}\right] = J(f_1, \dots, f_p). \tag{1.2}$$

The proof of Passare relied on constructing an explicit division formula involving the Coleff-Herrera product in order to obtain the ideal membership, while the proof in [DS] essentially reduced to solving a series of $\bar\partial$-equations.

Especially in relation to extension problems of holomorphic functions, it has turned out to be useful to consider other currents for describing complete intersection ideals similar to (1.2). It turns out that, generalizing the case of principal ideals in the beginning, if $J = J(f_1, \dots, f_p)$ is a complete intersection ideal of codimension p, and if $X_1, \dots, X_p$ are currents such that

(1.3)

$$f_1 X_1 = 1,\ f_j X_k = 0 \text{ for } 1 \le j < k \le p \text{ and } f_{k+1} X_{k+1} = \bar\partial X_k \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, p-1,$$

then

$$\operatorname{ann} \bar\partial X_p = J(f_1, \dots, f_p). \tag{1.4}$$

In [M2], the second author gave an elementary construction of such currents for any complete intersection ideal, using only the much more elementary Weierstrass preparation theorem, and not relying on Hironaka's theorem.

Consider now a more general ideal $J = J(f_1, \dots, f_m)$, which is not necessarily a complete intersection ideal. In [AW], Andersson and Wulcan constructed, given a free resolution (E, φ) of $\mathcal{O}/J$, a ($\operatorname{Hom}(E_0, E)$-valued) current R^E such that

$$\operatorname{ann} R^E = J,$$

and two proofs of this description of the annihilator were given, one essentially reducing ideal membership to solving a series of $\bar\partial$-equations, and the second by constructing an explicit division formula. If $J = J(f_1, \dots, f_p)$ is a complete intersection ideal, and one takes the Koszul complex of f as a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}/J$, then R^E equals the Coleff-Herrera product of f. In general, although the current R^E is explicitly expressed in terms of the free resolution (E, φ), it is in general quite difficult to understand, and the proof of existence of this current again relies on Hironaka's theorem.

In [L1], the first author described a way of relating the currents R^E of Andersson and Wulcan, related to different free resolutions, of possibly different ideals. We consider the particular case when J is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of codimension p, i.e., $\mathcal{O}/J$ has a free resolution (E, φ) of length p. We also assume that $\operatorname{rank} E_0 = 1$, which is always possible to choose. One can always find a complete intersection ideal $I = J(f_1, \dots, f_p)$ of codimension p contained in J, for example by taking p generic linear combinations of a set of generators of J, cf., for example [L1, Example 2]. If one lets (K, ψ) be the Koszul complex of f, then it is quite elementary homological algebra that one can construct a morphism of complexes $a : (K, \psi) \to (E, \varphi)$ which extends the natural surjection $\pi : \mathcal{O}/I \to \mathcal{O}/J$, i.e., which is such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & E_p & \xrightarrow{\varphi_p} & E_{p-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & E_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}/J & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle a_p} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle a_{p-1}} & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle a_0} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & K_p & \xrightarrow{\psi_p} & K_{p-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & K_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}/I & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array} \tag{1.5}$$

cf., Proposition 2.2 below. By [L1, Example 3], the current R^E can then be described as

$$R^E = a_p(e)\bar\partial\left[\frac{1}{f_p}\right]\wedge\cdots\wedge\bar\partial\left[\frac{1}{f_1}\right], \tag{1.6}$$

where $e_1,\dots,e_p$ is a frame for K_1 such that $\psi_1 = f_1e_1^*+\cdots+f_pe_p^*$, and $e := e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_p$ is the induced frame for $K_p \cong \bigwedge^p K_1$. Hence, the current R^E can be described as an explicit tuple of holomorphic functions times a Coleff-Herrera product.

Our main result is the following combination of (1.4) and (1.6), which thus with the help of the construction from [M2] allows for constructing currents representing Cohen-Macaulay ideals by elementary means, in particular not relying on Hironaka's theorem about resolution of singularities.

Theorem 1.1. *Let J be a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of codimension p, (E,φ) be a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}/J$ such that* $\operatorname{rank} E_0 = 1$*, $I = J(f_1,\dots,f_p)$ a complete intersection ideal of codimension p contained in I, (K,ψ) the Koszul complex of f, and let $a : (K,\psi)\to(E,\varphi)$ be a morphism of complexes extending the natural surjection $\pi : \mathcal{O}/I\to\mathcal{O}/J$ as in* (1.5)*. If $X_1,\dots,X_p$ are currents satisfying* (1.4)*, then*

$$\operatorname{ann} a_p(e)\bar\partial X_p = J.$$

The requirement that $\operatorname{rank} E_0 = 1$ implies that the entries of φ_1 generate J, and one can always find a free resolution such that this is the case.

We give two different proofs of this result, one in Section 2, which with the help of the theory of linkage reduces the problem to the complete intersection case in (1.4), and as well a more direct proof in Section 3 by means of an explicit division formula for expressing the ideal membership.

2. Proof by the theory of linkage

In this section, we give the first proof of Theorem 1.1, which is based on the theory of linkage. In a somewhat different setting, similar methods were used in [L2]. We recall that if I and J are two ideals in a ring R, then $I : J$ is the ideal $I : J = \{r\in R \mid rJ\subseteq I\}$. The key result in proving Theorem 1.1 is the following result, which can be found in (the proof of) [V, Proposition 3.41].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $J\subseteq\mathcal{O}$ be an ideal of pure codimension p, and let $I = J(f_1,\dots,f_p)$ be a complete intersection ideal of codimension p contained in J. If $K := I : J$, then $J = I : K$.*

We can describe the ideal K appearing in Theorem 2.1 in a different way, when $\mathcal{O}/J$ is Cohen-Macaulay. In order to do this, we use the following standard fact from homological algebra, see [E], Proposition A3.13.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\alpha : F\to G$ be a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$-modules, and let (K,ψ) and (E,φ) be free resolutions of F and G. Then, there exists a morphism $a : (K,\psi)\to(E,\varphi)$ of complexes which extends α.*

We will apply this in the case when $F = \mathcal{O}/I$, $G = \mathcal{O}/J$, $I\subseteq J$ and α is the natural surjection $\pi : \mathcal{O}/I\to\mathcal{O}/J$, as in (1.5). We remind for the following lemma, that for any ideal $J\subseteq\mathcal{O}$ of codimension p, there always exists a complete intersection ideal $I\subseteq J$ of codimension p. The following follows from Lemma 3.2 in [FH].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $J \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of codimension p, and assume that $I = J(f_1, \dots, f_p) \subseteq J$ is a complete intersection ideal of codimension p. Let (E, φ) be a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}/J$ such that $\operatorname{rank} E_0 = 1$, and let (K, ψ) be the Koszul complex of f, which is a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}/I$. Let $a : (K, \psi) \to (E, \varphi)$ be the morphism induced by the natural surjection $\mathcal{O}/I \to \mathcal{O}/J$ as in Proposition 2.2. Let L be the ideal generated by the entries of a_p. Then,*

$$I : J = I + L.$$

Remark 2.4. By reformulating this result, one can in fact drop the Cohen-Macaulay assumption, see [L2, Lemma 4.6], but for simplicity, we stick to this case here.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $J = I : (I : J)$ by Theorem 2.1, and $I : J = I + L$ by Lemma 2.3, $J = I : L$. We thus get that $g \in J$ if and only if all the entries of $g a_p(e)$ are in I. By (1.4), this holds if and only if $g a_p(e) \bar{\partial} X_p = 0$. □

Example 2.5. We consider now the most basic case, namely when $I = J(f_1, \dots, f_p)$ and $J = J(g_1, \dots, g_p)$ are both complete intersection ideals of codimension p. Then $I \subseteq J$ is equivalent to that that $f = gA$ for some holomorphic $p \times p$-matrix A. In this case, when (E, φ) and (K, ψ) are the Koszul complexes of g and f respectively, being free resolutions of $\mathcal{O}/J$ and $\mathcal{O}/I$ respectively, then the morphism $a : (E, \varphi) \to (K, \psi)$ extending the natural surjection $\pi : \mathcal{O}/I \to \mathcal{O}/J$ is given by $a_k : \bigwedge^k \mathcal{O}^{\oplus p} \to \bigwedge^k \mathcal{O}^{\oplus p}$, $a_k = \bigwedge^k A$. In particular, $a_p = \det A$. Thus, reasoning as above, we get that

$$g \in J \text{ if and only if } (\det A) g \in I. \tag{2.1}$$

This was an important part of the construction in [M2], since (2.1) allowed to reduce the problem to constructing such currents for just for certain special "adapted" complete intersections.

Example 2.6. Let $\pi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^3$, $\pi(t) = (t^3, t^4, t^5)$, and let Z be the germ at 0 of $\pi(\mathbb{C})$. One can show that the ideal of holomorphic functions vanishing at Z equals $J = J(y^2 - xz, x^3 - yz, x^2 y - z^2)$. The module $\mathcal{O}/J$ has a minimal free resolution (E, φ) of the form

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}/J,$$

where

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -z & -x^2 \\ -y & -z \\ x & y \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \varphi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} y^2 - xz & x^3 - yz & x^2 y - z^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular, since $\mathcal{O}/J$ has a minimal free resolution of length 2, with $\operatorname{rank} E_2 = 2$, $\mathcal{O}/J$ is Cohen-Macaulay but J is not a complete intersection. However, Z is in fact a set-theoretic complete intersection, which one can see by verifying that indeed, if $f = (z^2 - x^2 y, x^4 + y^3 - 2xyz)$, and $I = J(f)$, then $Z(I) = Z$.

Let (F, ψ) be the Koszul complex of f, which is a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}/I$ since f is a complete intersection. One verifies that $a : (F, \psi) \to (E, \varphi)$ given by,

$$a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x^3 - yz \\ y^2 - xz \end{bmatrix}, \; a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & x \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } a_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

is a morphism of complexes extending the natural surjection $\pi : \mathcal{O}/I \to \mathcal{O}/J$. In the appendix of [L1], we give an example of how such a morphism can be computed with the help of the computer algebra system Macaulay2.

By Theorem 1.1, we then get that

$$g \in J \text{ if and only if } (x^3 - yz)g \in I \text{ and } (y^2 - xz)g \in I.$$

For general Cohen-Macaulay ideals, one cannot expect that $Z(I) = Z(J)$ as in this example, since it by definition only is possible for set-theoretic complete intersections.

We also describe here how the currents from [M2] look in the case of the complete intersection ideal I generated by $f = (f_1, f_2) := (z^2 - x^2y, x^4 - 2xyz + y^3)$, as above. The construction in [M2] is based on first constructing such currents (X_1, Y_2) for the complete intersection ideal (f_1, R), where f_1 and f_2 are Weierstrass polynomials in, say the first variable, and R is the resultant (when seen as polynomials in this variable) of the Weierstrass polynomial of f_1 and f_2. Then, if one writes $R = af_1 + bf_2$, one takes $X_2 := bY_2$.

In order to make f_1 and f_2 Weierstrass polynomials in x around zero (times units), we do the change of coordinates:

$$x = X, \quad y = Y, \quad z = X + Z.$$

In these new coordinates (which we for simplicity will write as (x, y, z)), (f_1, f_2) becomes:

$$f = ((1 - y)x^2 + 2xz + z^2, x^4 - 2x^2y - 2xyz + y^3).$$

If we let $g = 1 - y$, the Weierstrass polynomial in x associated to f_1 is equal to $P_1 := x^2 + \frac{2xz}{g} + \frac{z^2}{g}$, and, following [M1] (essentially by integrating by parts 2γ times with respect to $\bar{x}$), we can take for X_1 the current

$$\langle X_1, \phi \rangle := C_\gamma \int \frac{\overline{P_1^\gamma}}{f_1} \partial_{\bar{x}}^{2\gamma}(\phi),$$

where $\partial_{\bar{x}}^{2\gamma}(\phi) := \frac{\partial^{2\gamma}\phi}{\partial \bar{x}^{2\gamma}}$, γ is an integer will be chosen later, and $C_\gamma = \pm(2\gamma)!$.

To construct first Y_2, we consider the resultant $R(P_1, f_2)$ of P_1 and f_2 which is a Weierstrass polynomial in z. Using Euclid's algorithm, after elementary but tedious calculations, we obtain that

$$R = \frac{z^2F^2}{g} - \frac{2zFG}{g} + G^2,$$

where F and G are Weierstrass polynomials with respect to z defined by:

$$F(y, z) = \frac{4}{g^2}(1 - \frac{2}{g})z^3 + 2y(\frac{2}{g} - 1)z,$$

$$G(y, z) = \frac{1}{g^2}(1 - \frac{4}{g})z^4 + \frac{2y}{g}z^2 + y^3.$$

Since $g(0) = 1$, it is easy to see that $R = UP_2$ where U is a unit near zero and P_2 is a Weierstrass polynomial in z of degree 8. Let us define the current Y_2 (as before, following [M1] and [M2]):

$$\langle Y_2, \phi \rangle := D \int \frac{\bar{P}_2}{R} \partial_{\bar{z}}^8 \left(\frac{\bar{\partial}(\overline{P_1^\gamma})}{f_1} \partial_{\bar{x}}^{2\gamma}(\phi) \right),$$

where γ is any integer larger than 8 (which makes sure that the integrand is integrable). Using integrations by parts (see [M2]), it is not hard to see that we can choose the constant D such that $RY_2 = \bar{\partial}X_1$ and $f_1Y_2 = 0$. Finally, using Euclid's algorithm, we can find holomorphic functions a and b near zero with $R = af_1 + bf_2$. In fact, we have $b = -(x + \frac{2z}{g})F + G$. If we let $X_2 := bY_2$, then the currents (X_1, X_2) satisfy all the conditions: $f_1X_1 = 1$, $f_2X_2 = \bar{\partial}X_1$ and $f_1X_2 = 0$.

3. Proof by explicit division formulas

In this section, we give an explicit division formula which proves Theorem 1.1. The proof relies on the following two lemmas. On $\mathbb{C}^n$ with coordinates ζ, and for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ fixed, δ_η denotes contraction with the vector field $(\zeta_1 - z_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1} + \cdots + (\zeta_n - z_n)\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_n}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Q be a $(1,0)$-form on $\mathbb{C}^n$, and H a holomorphic p-form. Then*

$$(n-p+1)\bar\partial(\delta_\eta Q)\wedge(\bar\partial Q)^{n-p}\wedge H = (\bar\partial Q)^{n-p+1}\wedge \delta_\eta H.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $I = J(f_1,\dots,f_p)$, J, (E,φ), (K,ψ) and $a : (E,\varphi)\to(K,\psi)$ be as in Theorem 1.1, and let $X_1,\dots,X_p$ be currents satisfying* (1.3)*. Let Y_k be defined as*

$$Y_k := a_k(e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_k\wedge X_k).$$

Then Y satisfies

$$\nabla Y := 1 - \bar\partial Y_p, \tag{3.1}$$

where $Y = Y_1+\cdots+Y_p$ and $\nabla = \varphi - \bar\partial$.

More explicitly, the ∇-equation means that

$$\varphi_1 Y_1 = 1 \text{ and } \varphi_k Y_k = \bar\partial Y_{k-1} \text{ for } 2\le k\le p\ . \tag{3.2}$$

We let $e_1,\dots,e_p$ be the standard basis of $K_1\cong\mathcal{O}^p$ such that the morphism in (K,ψ) is contraction with $\sum f_i e_i^*$, and in particular, K_k has as a basis $e_{I_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{I_k}$ for $1\le I_1<\cdots<I_k\le p$.

Remark 3.3. To be precise, $\varphi_1 Y_1$ is a E_0-valued $(0,0)$-current. However, since we assume that $\operatorname{rank} E_0 = 1$, we have that $E_0\cong\mathcal{O}\cong K_0$. Note that $K_0\cong\bigwedge^0 K_1$ has a canonical frame, $e_\emptyset$. In addition, $a_0 : K_0\to E_0$ is an isomorphism, so a_0 induces a frame $a_0(e_\emptyset)$ of E_0. In order to simplify the notation, we have identified $\mathcal{O}\xrightarrow{\cong} E_0$ through the map, $f\mapsto f a_0(e_\emptyset)$, so that we write $\varphi_1 Y_1 = 1$ instead of $\varphi_1 Y_1 = a_0(e_\emptyset)$.

In order to prove the division formula, we will also use the so-called *generalized Hefer forms* associated to a free resolution (E,φ) as introduced by Andersson in [A]. They consist of $(k-\ell,0)$-form valued holomorphic morphisms $H^\ell_k : E_k\to E_\ell$, satisfying $H^\ell_k = 0$ if $k<\ell$, $H^\ell_\ell = I_{E_\ell}$ and

$$\delta_\eta H^\ell_k = H^\ell_{k-1}\varphi_k(\zeta) - \varphi_{\ell+1}(z)H^{\ell+1}_k \tag{3.3}$$

for $k>\ell$.

In a similar way to in [M1] and [M2], we will show that (3.1) and the following integral representation formula lead to our sought after division formulas. Although similar formulas exist also when D is strongly pseudoconvex, [BA, DH], for simplicity of the presentation, we stick to the case when D is convex, see for example [B, Chapter 4].

Theorem 3.4. *Let $D\subseteq\mathbb{C}^n$ be smooth convex domain with defining function ρ, and let $Q := \partial\log(1/(-\rho))$ and*

$$P^{N,k}(\zeta,z) := \frac{1}{(\delta_\eta Q+1)^{N+k}}(\bar\partial Q)^k,$$

which is holomorphic in $z\in D$, and for $N\gg 1$, it is smooth in $\zeta\in\overline{D}$ and vanishes to arbitrarily high order (depending on N) on ∂D. If $h\in\mathcal{O}(\overline{D})$, then

$$h(z) = c_0\int_D P^{N,n}(\zeta,z)h(\zeta),\quad \text{for } z\in D. \tag{3.4}$$

We now give the second proof of Theorem 1.1. More precisely, we have the following result, which implies Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smooth convex domain, (E,φ) a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}/J$ for some ideal J with* $\operatorname{rank} E_0 = 1$*, $Y_1,\dots,Y_p$ currents satisfying* (3.1)*, and take $P^{N,n}$ as in Theorem 3.4, where $N \gg 1$ is such that $P^{N,n}$ vanishes on ∂D to order higher than the order of $Y_1,\dots,Y_p$ on $\overline{D}$, and let H be a generalized Hefer form for (E,φ). If $h \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{D})$, then*

$$h(z) = \varphi_1(z)P(h)(z) + R(h)(z), \quad \text{for } z \in D, \tag{3.5}$$

where $R(h)(z) =: R(z)$ is a holomorphic function given by

$$R(z) = c_p \int_D h(\zeta) P^{N,n-p}(\zeta,z) H_p^0 \bar\partial Y_p, \tag{3.6}$$

and $P(h)(z) =: P(z)$ is given as

$$P(z) = P_0(z) + \cdots + P_{p-1}(z),$$

where $P_k(z)$ is a vector of holomorphic functions given by

$$P_k(z) = c_k \int_D h(\zeta) P^{N,n-k}(\zeta,z) H_{k+1}^1 Y_{k+1}, \tag{3.7}$$

for suitably chosen constants $c_0,\dots,c_p$.

We recall that if (E,φ) is a free resolution of an ideal $\mathcal{O}/J$ and $\operatorname{rank} E_0 = 1$, then the entries of φ_1 are generators of J, so the first term in the right-hand side of (3.5) belongs to J.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the kernel defining $R(h)(z)$ is smooth in ζ except for the term Y_p, and if we thus as in Lemma 3.2 take $Y_p = a_p(e)X_p$, we get by the division formula that $\operatorname{ann} a_p(e)\bar\partial X_p \subseteq J$. Conversely, by the inclusion $J \subseteq I : (I : J)$, and Lemma 2.3, if $h \in J$, then $a_p(e)h \in I$, so $a_p(e)h \in \operatorname{ann} \bar\partial X_p$ by (1.4). □

Remark 3.6. It might seem like we also for this proof use the theory of linkage, using Lemma 2.3, and the inclusion $J \subseteq I : (I : J)$. However, Lemma 2.3 is rather straight-forward homological algebra, and the inclusion $J \subseteq I : (I : J)$ is trivial, while the real use of the theory of linkage in the previous section was the non-trivial inclusion $I : (I : J) \subseteq J$. In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 with the help of integral formulas, instead of using this inclusion. We then note that Theorem 1.1 indeed implies this inclusion. By (1.4) and Lemma 2.3, we get that $ha_p(e)\bar\partial X_p = 0$ is equivalent to that $h \in I : (I : J)$. By Theorem 1.1, we thus get that $h \in J$, proving the desired inclusion.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. By the choice of N, when the Y_ℓ's act on a smooth function times $P^{N,k}$, we can assume by extending $P^{N,k}$ by 0 outside of $\overline{D}$ that it is a test form, i.e., so that integration by parts is possible.

We start by using that $1 = \varphi_1 Y_1$ by (3.2), and insert this in the integral (3.4), and thus get that

$$h(z) = R_0(z) := c_0 \int h(\zeta) P^{N,n}(\zeta,z)\varphi_1(\zeta) Y_1. \tag{3.8}$$

More generally, we define for $0 \le k \le p-1$,

$$R_k(z) = c_k \int h(\zeta) P^{N,n-k}(\zeta,z) H_k^0 \varphi_{k+1}(\zeta) Y_{k+1},$$

which is consistent with the definition of R_0 above, since $H_0^0 = \mathrm{Id}_{E_0}$, and for $k = p$, we let $R_p(z) := R(z)$, where $R(z)$ is given by (3.6).

We now claim that for $0 \leq k \leq p-1$, we can chose c_{k+1} such that

$$R_k(z) = \varphi_1(z)P_k(z) + R_{k+1}(z), \tag{3.9}$$

where P_k is as in (3.7). To see this, we note first that by (3.3), we get that

$$R_k(z) = \varphi_1(z)P_k(z) + c\int h(\zeta)P^{N,n-k}(\zeta,z)\delta_\eta H_{k+1}^0 Y_{k+1}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we then obtain that

$$\bar\partial P^{N,n-k-1}(\zeta,z)H_{k+1}^0 = c\frac{1}{(\delta_\eta Q+1)^{N+n-k}}(\bar\partial\delta_\eta Q)(\bar\partial Q)^{n-k-1}H_{k+1}^0 = \\ = cP^{N,n-k}(\zeta,z)\delta_\eta H_{k+1}^0.$$

Inserting this in the equation for R_k, we get that

$$R_k(z) = \varphi_1(z)P_k(z) + c\int h(\zeta)\bar\partial(P^{N,n-k-1}(\zeta,z)H_{k+1}^0)Y_{k+1}.$$

By integration by parts, we get that

$$R_k(z) = \varphi_1(z)P_k(z) + R_{k+1}(z),$$

for $k \leq p-1$, where we used the fact that if $k < p-1$, then $\bar\partial Y_{k+1} = \varphi_{k+2}(\zeta)Y_{k+2}$ by (3.2), and that H_{k+1}^0 is holomorphic.

To conclude, starting with (3.8), then using (3.9) repeatedly for $k = 0,\dots,p-1$, and finally that $R_p(z) = R(z)$, we obtain (3.5). □

We finally also remark that indeed, using the framework of integral formulas of Andersson, as in [A], it follows from (3.1) that one has a division formula

$$h(z) = \varphi_1(z)\sum_k \int H_k^1 Y_k(\zeta)h(\zeta)\wedge g_{n-k} + \int H_p^0\bar\partial Y_p(\zeta)h(\zeta)\wedge g_{n-p},$$

where g is a weight with compact support as in [AW, Section 5]. Here we have preferred to give a more direct proof based on the basic Theorem 3.4, avoiding the need to use this full machinery.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We note first that since δ_η is an anti-derivation, $\delta_\eta\bar\partial = -\bar\partial\delta_\eta$. In addition, for degree-reasons, $Q\wedge(\bar\partial Q)^{n-p}\wedge H = 0$. Thus,

$$0 = \delta_\eta\bar\partial(Q\wedge(\bar\partial Q)^{n-p}\wedge H) = -\bar\partial\delta_\eta(Q\wedge(\bar\partial Q)^{n-p}\wedge H).$$

Hence, since δ_η is an anti-derivation, and Q and $\bar\partial Q$ are of odd and even degree respectively, and $(\bar\partial Q)^{n-p}\wedge H$ is $\bar\partial$-closed,

$$(\bar\partial\delta_\eta Q)\wedge(\bar\partial Q)^{n-p}\wedge H - \bar\partial(Q\wedge\delta_\eta(\bar\partial Q)^{n-p}\wedge H) = (\bar\partial Q)^{n-p+1}\wedge\delta_\eta H.$$

It then only remains to see that

$$-\bar\partial(Q\wedge\delta_\eta(\bar\partial Q)^{n-p}\wedge H) = (n-p)\bar\partial\delta_\eta Q\wedge(\bar\partial Q)^{n-p}\wedge H. \tag{3.10}$$

To see this, we first note that since $\bar\partial Q$ has even degree,

$$\bar\partial(Q\wedge\delta_\eta(\bar\partial Q)^{n-p}\wedge H) = (n-p)\bar\partial(Q\wedge(\delta_\eta\bar\partial Q)\wedge(\bar\partial Q)^{n-p-1}\wedge H)$$

In addition, $\delta_\eta\bar\partial Q = -\bar\partial\delta_\eta Q$, which is $\bar\partial$-closed, so

$$-(n-p)\bar\partial(Q\wedge(\delta_\eta\bar\partial Q)\wedge(\bar\partial Q)^{n-p-1}\wedge H) = (n-p)\bar\partial Q\wedge\bar\partial\delta_\eta Q\wedge(\bar\partial Q)^{n-p-1}\wedge H,$$

which gives (3.10). □

Proof of Lemma 3.2. To prove that Y satisfies (3.2), we note first that since $\varphi_1 a_1 = \psi_1$ (where we identify E_0 and K_0 with $\mathcal{O}$ as in Remark 3.3),

$$\varphi_1 Y_1 = \varphi_1 a_1(e_1 \wedge X_1) = \psi_1 e_1 X_1 = f_1 X_1 = 1.$$

For $2 \leq k \leq p$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_k Y_k &= a_{k-1}(\psi_k(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k)) \wedge X_k \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j-1} f_j a_{k-1}(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{e}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k) \wedge X_k \\ &= (-1)^{k-1} a_{k-1}(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{k-1}) \wedge f_k X_k \\ &= (-1)^{k-1} a_{k-1}(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{k-1}) \wedge \bar{\partial} X_{k-1} = \bar{\partial} Y_{k-1},\end{aligned}$$

where all the other terms in the sum vanish since $f_j X_k = 0$ for $j < k$, and the sign in the last equality is due to the superstructure, cf., for example [L1, Section 2.1], since $a_{k-1}(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{k-1})$ has degree $k-1$. □

References

[A] M. Andersson, *Integral representation with weights. II. Division and interpolation*, Math. Z. **254** (2006), no. 2, 315–332.

[AW] M. Andersson and E. Wulcan, *Residue currents with prescribed annihilator ideals*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **40** (2007), no. 6, 985–1007.

[B] B. Berndtsson, *Weighted integral formulas*, Several complex variables (Stockholm, 1987/1988), Math. Notes, vol. 38, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, pp. 160–187.

[BA] B. Berndtsson and M. Andersson, *Henkin-Ramirez formulas with weight factors*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **32** (1982), no. 3, v–vi, 91–110.

[CH] N. R. Coleff and M. E. Herrera, *Les courants résiduels associés à une forme méromorphe*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 633, Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[DH] Š. A. Dautov and G. M. Henkin, *Zeros of holomorphic functions of finite order and weighted estimates for the solutions of the $\bar{\partial}$-equation*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **107(149)** (1978), no. 2, 163–174, 317 (Russian).

[DS] A. Dickenstein and C. Sessa, *Canonical representatives in moderate cohomology*, Invent. Math. **80** (1985), no. 3, 417–434.

[D] P. Dolbeault, *Courants résidus des formes semi-méromorphes*, Séminaire Pierre Lelong (Analyse) (année 1970), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 205, Springer, Berlin, 1971, pp. 56–70.

[E] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.

[FH] L. Fouli and C. Huneke, *What is a system of parameters?*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), no. 8, 2681–2696.

[HL] M. E. M. Herrera and D. I. Lieberman, *Residues and principal values on complex spaces*, Math. Ann. **194** (1971), 259–294.

[L1] R. Lärkäng, *A comparison formula for residue currents* (2012), Preprint, available at `arXiv:1207.1279[math.CV]`.

[L2] R. Lärkäng, *Explicit versions of the local duality theorem in $\mathbb{C}^n$* (2015), Preprint, available at `arXiv:1510.01965[math.CV]`.

[LSK] R. Lärkäng and H. Samuelsson Kalm, *Various approaches to products of residue currents*, J. Funct. Anal. **264** (2013), no. 1, 118–138.

[M1] E. Mazzilli, *Division des distributions et applications à l'étude d'idéaux de fonctions holomorphes*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **338** (2004), no. 1, 1–6.

[M2] E. Mazzilli, *Courants du type résiduel attachés à une intersection complète*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **368** (2010), no. 1, 169–177.

[P] M. Passare, *Residues, currents, and their relation to ideals of holomorphic functions*, Math. Scand. **62** (1988), no. 1, 75–152.

[V] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Computational methods in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry*, Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics, vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

Richard Lärkäng, Department of Mathematics, University of Wuppertal, Gaussstr. 20, 42119 Wuppertal, Germany, and Department of Mathematics, Chalmers University of Technology and the University of Gothenburg, 412 96 Göteborg, Sweden.
E-mail address: larkang@chalmers.se

Emmanuel Mazzilli, Laboratoire Paul Painlevé U.M.R. CNRS 8524, U.F.R. de Mathématiques, cité scientifique, Université Lille 1, F59 655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France.
E-mail address: emmanuel.mazzilli@math.univ-lille1.fr